App. F IX-4

Previous References
mc 11-27 15 63 276
" 48-26 15 46 267

Later References

1928.
(mc)

File No. 2-23

Serial Nos. 77, 85, 100
& 115

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for April 29

SUBJECT

Grant of maximum pay to the Shenkdars Syed Ghoze and Keshabhadraiah of the Mysore City Municipality

115 The Dewan-Chief Commissioner, Mysore.
The Comptroller to Govt
L 6855-6 dy 16-4-1929

Sanctions the grant of maximum pay to the Shenkdars in their respective grades.

F-3112

General and Revenue Secretariat

2nd File No 2

App. F III—2]

of 1928

Serial No.

77

Letter no 1281 dy 18th Jan 1929 from the President, submitting a request for the resolution of the Council that Shekdar Syed Ghaus and Veerabhadraiah of the Municipality may be given the maximum of their grades

1 The Resolution of the Municipal Council is undesirable. The Commissioner's letter of the President also does not say what is the present pay of these officials is of which the grade informed is

If approved, the President will be requested to furnish the information and also to send their Service Books

Ch 28/1 Engl Ch Secy 29/1

3 Gs Asst Secy

29 JAN 1929

Ch 31/1

Office Submitted 30/1

Ch 31/1

S Secy

D 5.267 dy 5-2/29 1/2

re post of Shekdar

Calls for certain information as to the pay and requests him to forward their service Books &c.

GEN & REV SECTT

27 FEB 1929

Lr/Secr-Chitn. sheet

Serial No.

100 Letter no G T 1646 dt 13 3 1929 from the President municipal Bezwada stating that the Shroffs are drawing Rs 40 and 35 respectively in the grade of Rs 40-1-45 and 35-1-40

The President, municipal Council Bezwada city has proposed that the two shroffs of the municipality Veerabhadrah and Syed Gouse might be given the maximum of the grade of Rs 40-1-45 and Rs 35-1-40 respectively for the reason explained in the memorandum X Brought forward by the municipal Commissioner At present they pay Rs 40 and 35 respectively

The extra cost on account of the increase proposed amounts to Rs 5/8 per month

The service registers of the two shroffs are placed below Syed Ghouse does not seem to possess a good record of work in the municipality (Vide his service register (Flag K)

Submitted for orders

23 MAR 1929

Note - Contn. sheet.

4203

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 2 of 1920.

Serial No.

10 The two Sheriffs are stated to be 52 yrs old and to have put in 28 years of service They are also stated to have done good work during the year but the cost involved is not very much the Provincial recommendation may be approved

27/3

11 Apl

draft G.O. as proposed

28/3/20

(Sd)

2nd member)

3/4

17/4/20

Mr

Agreed

17/4

L 6855—6916 4/3

The Proposals of the Committee Sanction be granted

REV SEC.

23 APR 1920

L. 4

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

OFFICE OF THE HONBLE MEMBER OF COUNCIL
8 APR 1920
No. 7507

Mr 2-25-77 4

1928

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dated 18th January *1929*

GEN 23 JAN 1929

32432

From

T G RAMA IYER Esq, B A.,
President, City Municipal Council
MYSORE

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
B A N G A L O R E

CHIEF SECRETARY. 21 JAN 1929

Sir

I have the honour to state that a proposition as per copy enclosed, signed by 18 Municipal Councillors regarding the pay and prospects of Sheikdars Syed Ghouse and Veerabhadriah of this Municipality was placed before the Municipal Council in their meeting dated 22nd December 1928 and the Council resolved that they be given the maximum of their grade Copy of the Council's Resolution is enclosed for orders of Government

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
PRESIDENT

S-VM

5

The following proposition regarding the pay and prospects of Sheikdars may kindly be considered and disposed of on its merits in the ensuing meeting of the Municipal Councillors:-

The present Sheikdars, especially Veerabhadrappa and Siyad Ghouse, have served mostly in the Revenue Branch These two latter are about 52 years of age and have put in nearly 28 years of Superior service Their Service Books will testify to their character and their work They have turned out excellent work in collecting Kandayams, especially this year under the kind guidance of their superior Officers

Municipal Clerks of the 2nd grade who were getting less than Sheikdars are now given higher pay and higher grades, though the latter do more onerous work and that woo in the executive line So the Sheikdars and especially Veerabhadrappa and Siyad Ghouse may be given Higher grades of pay than those of the 2nd grade clerks and may also be favoured with the maximum pay in their respective grades, in view of their impending retirement

1. Sd/-S Manjaraja Urs.
2. " T Thammanna
3. " M.C.Thammiah.
4. " M.Visvanakahiah.
5. " T S Alli Khan
6. " K Dhanakotichetty.
7. " H C Dasappa
8. " P Pallonji.
9. " M P.Bhogiah.
10. " Mohamed Sait.
11. Sd/- Sangappa.
12. " C Doddajavarappa.
13. " Madappa
14. " P H.Chandrabhan Singh
15. " V.T.Armuga Modaliyar
16. " M K.Krishna Rao
17. " D Banumiah.
18. " M C Lakshmipathiya.

"Copy"

President
GR

[illegible] of the Executive Officer of the City Municipal Council Mysore held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday the 1st October 1952 at 9 A.M.

Present:

[illegible]

Absent:

[illegible]

X X X

291. Memorandum from the undermentioned Municipal Councillors re t. pay and prospects of Sheikdars

Messrs:-
1. S.Ranjaraj Urs.
2. T.Thammanna.
3 M.C Thammiah.
4. Y.Virupakshiah
5. F.S.Alif Khan.
6. K.Dhanakotichetty.
7 K.C.Dasappa.
8. P Pallenji.
9. M.P Bhagiah.

Messrs.
10. Mohamed Sait.
11. Sangappa.
12. C.Jayarappa.
13. M.Madappa.
14. P.H.Chandrabhan Singh.
15. V T.Arumuga Modaliyar
16. M.K.Krishna Rao.
17. D.Bammiah.
18. M Lakshmipathiya.

Resolution:- Resolved that Sheikdars Syed Ghouse and Veerabhadraiah be given the maximum pay of their grade

Votes were taken 11 for and 6 against.

Mr.A.Krishna Rao seconded by Mr.P.S.Ramaswamiengar demanded poll

For: Messrs. Mohamed Sait, V.T.Arumuga Modaliar, P.H.Chan cpy

Y.Virupakshiah, K.Dhanakotishetty, C.Deddajavarappa, T.Thammanna, M.C.Lakshmipataiya, M.Mallappa, Dharmaprakasa D.Rangaiah, M.C. Thammiah.

Against: Messrs.M.Rama Rao, M K.Krishna Rao, C.Narasimhaiya, A.Krishna Rao, P.S.Ramaswamiengar, and S.Manjaraj Urs.

The rest neutral.

x x x

Sd/-T.C.Rama Iyer,
President.

"Copy"

President.

8

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

Section Revd

File No. 2-28

Serial No. 283

No. L 5267

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

The 192

To The Presidency Board of Revenue

Date of issue.... 8/2/29

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 9 T 1281 of 18th Jan 1929 forwarding for orders of Govt a copy of the resolution of the Council to grant the Shekdars Syed Ghouse and Veerabhadrudu may be given the maximum pay of their grades, I am directed to enquire what

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by

over

WDS 25—GPD—50,000—23-2-27

the pay of the officials
at present is and ~~that~~ What
~~is~~ the grade referred
to in your letter to
A protection statement
~~along~~ with their service
registers may also be
sent with the above
information

I have el
Yrs
1/2
For Chief Sec

Chas H Hill

10

No. [illegible]
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE

Dated. 13th March ____ 1929

GEN & REV SECTT
4203
18 MAR 1929
L C J BRANCH

From

T G RAMA IYER, Esq., B A.,
President, City Municipal Council,
MYSORE

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.5267/M1 2-28-83 dated 2/5th February 1929 re grant of maximum pay to Sheikdars Syed Gouse and Veerabhadriah and Veerabhadriah, I have the honour to state that they are drawing Rs.40 and Rs 35 respectively in the grade of Rs.40-1-45 and Rs.35-1-40

A proposition statement along with their service registers, is sent herewith, as desired

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President.

Mysore City Municipality

Statement of proposition for establishment of Sheikdars of Mohatarfa of the Revenue Section

Present scale				Proposed and sanctioned scale of pay				
Sl:No	Designation	Scale of pay.	Average cost	Sl:No.	Designation	Scale of pay	Average cost	Net increase
1	Sheikdar	40-1-45 & 7½	43 1/3 & 7½	1	Sheikdar	40-1-45 & 7½	45 & 7½	1 2/3
				1	Sheikdar	35-1-40 & 7½	40 & 7½	1 2/3
2	Sheikdars	35-1-40 & 7½	76 2/3 & 15	1	Sheikdar	35-1-40 & 7½	38 1/3 & 7½	
			142½				145 5/6	3 1/3 per month or Rs 40 per year

PVR

President,
City Municipal Council,
Mysore

9

12

G.R.S VI G 34]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to... ....

Section
File No 2-28
Serial No 115

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue.. .... 16.. 4.. 1929 .....

Signed by.. [illegible] ..

Read Correspondence ending with letter No ST 1646 dated 13 3 1929 from the Protector of Emigrants stating that the two Shareholders of the Municipality Vasabhadrappa and Syed Gouse are drawing Rs 40 and Rs 65 respectively in the grade of Rs 40-1-45 and Rs 35-1-60 and the Council to have resolved that they may be given the maximum of their grade in view of their satisfactory work and requesting

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

Sanction of Govt to the 13
resolution of the Council
Order no - L 6853-6 of 16 & 29

Sanctioned

28/7/4 By gen Sy. to Govt

C85y 14/7

To the President, Board
of Examiners

Ch 28/8 HS

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1928—GPS—1,000,00—31-12-43